

Further results of best simultaneous approximation on function spaces

M. Khandaqji*, E. AlMuhur, M. Al-labadi and A. Alboustanji

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to establish new results of best simultaneous proximality problem for a finite number of vector valued functions in the Köthe spaces.

AMS Subject Classification (2020): 41A50, 41A28, 46B40

Keywords: Approximation, Köthe Bochner function spaces, Banach lattice

1. Introduction

The theory of best simultaneous approximation on operators and function spaces has been extensively investigated, see [1]-[9] [13]-[16]. Recent interests are focused on the study of the best simultaneous approximation in conditional complete Banach lattices spaces with strong unit $\mathbf{1}$, see [4], [6].

Definition 1. A lattice (L, \leq) is said to be conditionally complete if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- (1) every non-empty lower bound set admits an infimum,
- (2) every non-empty upper bound set admits a supremum,
- (3) there exists a complete lattice $\bar{L} = L \cup \{\top, \perp\}$, which we shall call minimal completion of L , with bottom element \perp and top element \top , such that L is a sublattice of \bar{L} , $\inf L = \perp$ and $\sup L = \top$.

*Corresponding author

Also recall that an element $\mathbf{1}$ of a Banach lattice space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a strong unit if $\|\mathbf{1}\| = 1$ and $x \leq \mathbf{1}$ for each element x in the unit ball of X .

Definition 2. A conditionally complete Banach lattice space X is a real Banach space which is also a conditionally complete vector lattice such that

$$|x| \leq |y| \implies \|x\| \leq \|y\|,$$

for all $x, y \in X$, with $|x| = \max\{x, -x\}$.

We shall assume that X is a conditionally complete Banach lattice space with strong unit $\mathbf{1}$ throughout of this paper. The following Lemma can be found in [10], page 18:

Lemma 3. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear lattice. Then

- (1) $\| |x| \| = \|x\|$, for every x in X ,
- (2) if $x \wedge y = 0$, then $\|x - y\| = \|x + y\|$,
- (3) the lattice operation are continuous,
- (4) the positive cone of X is closed,
- (5) the norm on X is regular and monotone.

Hence in this paper, one has $\| |x| \| = \|x\|$, for every x in X , and the norm in X is monotonic, that is

$$-y \leq x \leq y \implies \|x\| \leq \|y\|,$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Throughout this paper the measure space (T, \sum, μ) is a finite complete measure space.

Let $L^0 = L^0(T)$ be the space of all Σ -equivalence classes of Σ -measurable real-valued functions, where $x(t) \leq y(t)$ μ -almost everywhere (a.e. $t \in T$), if $x \leq y$ with $x, y \in L^0$.

Throughout this paper we'll use the symbol χ_A , where $A \in \Sigma$ to denote the characteristic function, which is specified to be one on A and zero elsewhere.

A Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is termed a Köthe space, if for every $x, y \in L^0$, with $|x| \leq |y|$ and $y \in E$, then x is an element of E and $\|x\|_E \leq \|y\|_E$, also if $\mu(A)$ is finite, where $A \in \Sigma$, then $\chi_A \in E$, see [11]. One can see that the space E is a Banach lattice space under \leq .

If E is a Köthe space on the measure space (T, Σ, μ) , then the space of all equivalence classes of strongly measurable functions $x : T \rightarrow X$, where $\|x(\cdot)\|$ is an element in E with the norm:

$$\|x\| = \|\|x(\cdot)\|\|_E.$$

Then $(E(X), \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach space called the Köthe Bochner function space induced by E and X . Also if X is Banach lattice, $E(X)$ is also a Banach lattice space, see [11].

Let G be a closed subspace of X , which is a Banach space. Let us define the norm on $X^n = X \times X \times \dots \times X$ on a finite number of elements x_1, \dots, x_n in X , by:

$$\|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\|_m = \max \{\|x_1\|, \dots, \|x_n\|\},$$

where X^n with the norm $\|\cdot\|_m$ defined above is denoted by $\otimes_m X^n$.

Set $W = \{(y, \dots, y) \text{ n-tuple} : y \in G\}$, define the norm on W by

$$\|(y, \dots, y)\|_m = \|y\|, \quad y \in G$$

then $\otimes_m X^n$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_m$ is a Banach lattice space with W a closed subspace of $\otimes_m X^n$. If for any finite elements x_1, \dots, x_n in X , and there exists an element y_o in G , such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_1, \dots, x_n, G) &= \inf_{y \in G} \max \{\|x_1 - y\|, \dots, \|x_n - y\|\} \\ &= \max \{\|x_1 - y_o\|, \dots, \|x_n - y_o\|\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

then we call the element y_o a best *max*-simultaneous proximinant (*BMSP*) of the finite elements x_1, \dots, x_n in X and G a *max*-simltaneous proximal (*MSP*) in X .

We state that G is *max*-simultaneously Chebyshev in X , if such y_o in G is a unique element. Note that G is (*MSP*) in X if and only if W is proximal in $\otimes_m X^n$.

Remark 4. The best *max*-simultaneous proximinant (*BMSP*) appears to be stronger than ordinary proximality for $x \in X$, and $d(x, G) = \inf_{y \in G} \|x - y\|$. However as cited in [13], this is not the case in general for various characterizations of simultaneous approximations.

The norm on f is defined by

$$\|f\|_m = \|\max \{\|x_1(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|x_n(\cdot)\|\}\|_E,$$

for a function $f = (x_i)_{i=1}^n \in (E(X))^n$.

We show the existence of the element $y_o = (g_0, \dots, g_0)$ in $(E(G))^n$ for a given closed subspace G of X and $f = (x_i)_{i=1}^n$ in $(E(X))^n$, where the infimum obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - y_o\|_m &= \inf_{g \in E(G)} \|f - (g, \dots, g)\|_m \\ &= \|\max \{\|x_1(\cdot) - g_0(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|x_n(\cdot) - g_0(\cdot)\|\}\|_E, \end{aligned}$$

which means that y_o is a (*BMSP*) of $f = (x_i)_{i=1}^n$ in $(E(X))^n$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_1, \dots, x_n, E(G)) &= \inf_{g \in E(G)} \|\max\{\|x_1(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|x_n(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\|\}\|_E \\ &= \|\max\{\|x_1(\cdot) - g_0(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|x_n(\cdot) - g_0(\cdot)\|\}\|_E \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we investigate the $(BMSP)$ for finite elements in $E(X)$.

2. Distance formula

For x_1, \dots, x_n in $E(X)$, we define the set $Best(x_1, \dots, x_n, E(G))$ by

$$\left\{ g \in E(G) : \begin{aligned} &\|\max\{\|x_1(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|x_n(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\|\}\|_E \\ &= d(x_1, \dots, x_n, E(G)) \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

It is clear that if the set $Best(x_1, \dots, x_n, E(G))$ is non-empty, then $E(G)$ is (MSP) in $E(X)$.

Lemma 5. *If x_1, \dots, x_n are elements in $E(X)$, and a strongly measurable function $g : T \rightarrow G$, with $g(t) \in Best(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t), G)$ for a. e. $t \in T$, then g is an element of $E(G)$ and also $g \in Best(x_1, \dots, x_n, E(G))$.*

Proof. Since $g(t)$ belong to the set $Best(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t), G)$, (a. e. $t \in T$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(t)\| &\leq \|x_1(t) - g(t)\| + \|x_1(t)\| \\ &\leq \max\{\|x_1(t) - g(t)\|, \dots, \|x_n(t) - g(t)\|\} + \|x_1(t)\| \\ &\leq \max\{\|x_1(t)\|, \dots, \|x_n(t)\|\} + \|x_1(t)\| \\ &\leq \|x_1(t)\| + \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i(t)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|g\| \leq \|x_1\| + \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|.$$

This means that g is an element in $E(G)$. But for all $h \in E(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \{ \|x_1(t) - g(t)\|, \dots, \|x_n(t) - g(t)\| \} \\ & \leq \max \{ \|x_1(t) - h(t)\|, \dots, \|x_n(t) - h(t)\| \}, \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \max \{ \|x_1(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|x_n(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\| \} \|_E \\ & \leq \| \max \{ \|x_1(\cdot) - h(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|x_n(\cdot) - h(\cdot)\| \} \|_E. \end{aligned}$$

Thus g is an element of $Best(x_1, \dots, x_n, E(G))$. \square

Definition 6 [11]. If for every element x in E and for every decreasing sequence $(A_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq E$, converging to 0, we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_{A_k} x\|_E = 0$, then the norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ is called an absolute continuous norm on E .

Definition 7 [12]. If for $x \leq y$ and $\|x\|_E = \|y\|_E$ implies that $x = y$, then E is said to be strictly monotone.

Now, we introduce the following theorem of the distance equality:

Theorem 8. Assume that $\|\cdot\|$ is an absolute continuous norm on $E(X)$. If x_1, \dots, x_n are finite number of functions in $E(X)$, then the function $d(x_1, \dots, x_n, E(G))$ is an element of E and

$$\|d(x_1(\cdot), \dots, x_n(\cdot), G)\|_E = d(x_1, \dots, x_n, E(G)).$$

Proof. If x_1, \dots, x_n in $E(X)$, then there exists a finite number of sequence of simple functions in $E(X)$, say $(x_{k,i})_{k=1}^\infty$, ($i = 1, \dots, n$), such that for any i , ($1 \leq i \leq n$), we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{k,i}(t) - x_i(t)\| = 0, (a.e. t \in T).$$

Since the function $d(x_1(\cdot), \dots, x_n(\cdot), G)$ is continuous, then for each i , ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $t \in T$, there exists a sequence of elements $(x_{k,i}(t))_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq G$

such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_{k,1}(t), \dots, x_{k,n}(t), G) - d(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t), G)| = 0,$$

Now, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in T$, set

$$M_k(t) = d(x_{k,1}(t), \dots, x_{k,n}(t), G),$$

then each $k = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$, M_k is a measurable function.

Therefore, $d(x_1(\cdot), \dots, x_n(\cdot), G)$ is measurable, also for all $z \in G$, and $t \in T$, we have

$$d(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t), G) \leq \max\{\|x_1(t) - z\|, \dots, \|x_n(t) - z\|\},$$

Also, for all $g \in E(G)$, we get

$$d(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t), G) \leq \max\{\|x_1(t) - g(t)\|, \dots, \|x_n(t) - g(t)\|\},$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|d(x_1(\cdot), \dots, x_n(\cdot), G)\|_E \\ & \leq \|\max\{\|x_1(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|x_n(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\|\}\|_E. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $d(x_1(\cdot), \dots, x_n(\cdot), G)$ is an element of E and

$$\|d(x_1(\cdot), \dots, x_n(\cdot), G)\|_E \leq d(x_1, \dots, x_n, E(G)). \quad (2)$$

The simple functions are dense in $E(X)$ because $\|\cdot\|$ is an absolute continuous norm on $E(X)$, [11]. Thus in $E(X)$ there are simple functions x_i^* such that for $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\|x_i^* - x_i\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{n}, \quad (\text{for } i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Let us suppose that

$$x_i^*(t) = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k^i \chi_{A_k}(t), \quad (\text{for } i = 1, \dots, n).$$

where A_k 's are measurable sets with $A_i \cap A_j = \varnothing$ for $i \neq j$, also we assume that $\mu(A_k) > 0$, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $T = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$, where $\alpha_k^i \in X$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $c = \|\chi_T\| > 0$, then for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $y_k \in G$ satisfying

$$\max \{ \|\alpha_k^1 - y_k\|, \dots, \|\alpha_k^n - y_k\| \} \leq d(\alpha_k^1, \dots, \alpha_k^n, G) + \frac{\varepsilon}{c}.$$

Consider

$$g(t) = \sum_{k=1}^m y_k \chi_{A_k}(t), \text{ for } t \in T$$

Thus, we can obtain the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \{ \|\alpha_1^*(t) - g(t)\|, \dots, \|\alpha_n^*(t) - g(t)\| \} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \chi_{A_k}(t) \max \{ \|\alpha_k^1 - y_k\|, \dots, \|\alpha_k^n - y_k\| \} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \chi_{A_k}(t) \left[d(\alpha_k^1, \dots, \alpha_k^n, G) + \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \right] \\ &= d(x_1^*(t), \dots, x_n^*(t), G) + \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \sum_{k=1}^m \chi_{A_k}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\max \{ \|x_1^*(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|x_n^*(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\| \}\|_E \\ &\leq \|d(x_1^*(\cdot), \dots, x_n^*(\cdot), G)\|_E + \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \chi_{A_k} \right\| \\ &\leq \|d(x_1^*(\cdot), \dots, x_n^*(\cdot), G)\|_E + \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \|\chi_T\| \\ &= \|d(x_1^*(\cdot), \dots, x_n^*(\cdot), G)\|_E + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_1, \dots, x_n, E(G)) &\leq d(x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*, E(G)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i - x_i^*\| \\
 &< \|\max\{\|x_1^*(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|x_n^*(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\|\}\|_E + \varepsilon \\
 &\leq \|d(x_1^*(\cdot), \dots, x_n^*(\cdot), G)\|_E + 2\varepsilon \\
 &\leq \|d(x_1(\cdot), \dots, x_n(\cdot), G)\|_E + \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i - x_i^*\| + 2\varepsilon \\
 &< \|d(x_1(\cdot), \dots, x_n(\cdot), G)\|_E + 3\varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$d(x_1, \dots, x_n, E(G)) < \|d(x_1(\cdot), \dots, x_n(\cdot), G)\|_E + 3\varepsilon.$$

It holds that

$$d(x_1, \dots, x_n, E(G)) \leq \|d(x_1(\cdot), \dots, x_n(\cdot), G)\|_E. \quad (3)$$

As a result, the inequalities (2) and (3) produce the desired result. \square

The following is the consequence of the preceding theorem:

Corollary 9. *If the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $E(X)$ is absolute continuous and strictly monotone, then for a finite number of functions x_1, \dots, x_n in $E(X)$, g is an element of $Best(x_1, \dots, x_n, E(G))$ if and only if*

$$g(t) \in Best(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t), G), (a.e. t \in T).$$

The following result focuses on the characterization of the (MSP) of simple functions in $E(X)$:

Theorem 10. *If G is (MSP) in X , then for every finite elements of simple functions x_1, \dots, x_n in $E(X)$, the set $Best(x_1, \dots, x_n, E(G))$ is a non-empty set.*

Proof. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a finite number of simple functions in $E(X)$. Each of these functions can be written as

$$x_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k^i \chi_{A_k}(t), i = 1, \dots, n.$$

$i = 1, \dots, n$, where $T = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$, where A_k 's are measurable sets and $(A_i \cap A_j = \varnothing \text{ for } i \neq j)$, we also suppose that $\mu(A_k) > 0$, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Then, we know that for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, there exists (BMSP) elements w_k in G of the finite number of elements $(\alpha_k^1, \dots, \alpha_k^n)$ in $\bigotimes_m X^n$ such that

$$d(x_k^1, \dots, x_k^n, G) = \max \{ \|\alpha_k^1 - z_k\|, \dots, \|\alpha_k^n - z_k\| \}.$$

Set

$$g(t) = \sum_{k=1}^m z_k \chi_{A_k}(t), (t \in T),$$

then for any function h in $E(G)$ and any $\alpha > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\max \{ \|x_1(\cdot) - h(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|x_n(\cdot) - h(\cdot)\| \}\|_E \\ & \geq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \chi_{A_k}(\cdot) [\max \{ \|\alpha_k^1 - z_k\|, \dots, \|\alpha_k^n - z_k\| \}] \right\|_E \\ & = \|\max \{ \|x_1(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|x_n(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\| \}\|_E. \end{aligned}$$

Taking infimum over all functions h , we get

$$d(x_1, \dots, x_n, E(G)) = \|\max \{ \|x_1(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|x_n(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\| \}\|_E.$$

As a result, the finite elements of simple functions x_1, \dots, x_n are (BMSP) in $E(X)$. \square

Theorem 11. Let the norm $\|\cdot\|$ be an absolute continuous and strictly monotone norm on $E(X)$. If $E(G)$ is (MSP) in $E(X)$, then G is (MSP) in X .

Proof. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in X$. Set $x_i(t) = \alpha_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) (a.e. $t \in T$).

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_i\| &= \|\|x_i(\cdot)\| \|_E = \|\|\alpha_i \chi_T(\cdot)\|\|_E \\ &= \|\alpha_i\| \|\chi_T\|, \quad (i = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

which is finite, then $x_i \in E(X)$, for each i , ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

By assumption, there exists a function g in $E(G)$ that satisfies the following

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\max\{\|x_1(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|x_n(\cdot) - g(\cdot)\|\}\|_E \\ &\leq \|\max\{\|x_1(\cdot) - h(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|x_n(\cdot) - h(\cdot)\|\}\|_E, \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in E(G)$. Since $E(X)$ is a Köthe Bochner function space with a strictly monotone norm, then for almost $t \in T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{\|x_1(t) - g(t)\|, \dots, \|x_n(t) - g(t)\|\} \\ &\leq \max\{\|x_1(t) - h(t)\|, \dots, \|x_n(t) - h(t)\|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $t_0 \in T$ and $y = g(t_0)$, then $y \in G$ and for all $h \in E(G)$, we have

$$\max\{\|\alpha_1 - y\|, \dots, \|\alpha_n - y\|\} \leq \max\{\|\alpha_1 - h(t)\|, \dots, \|\alpha_n - h(t)\|\},$$

Since G is embedded isometrically into $E(G)$, it follows that

$$\max\{\|\alpha_1 - y\|, \dots, \|\alpha_n - y\|\} \leq \max\{\|\alpha_1 - w\|, \dots, \|\alpha_n - w\|_X\},$$

for all $w \in G$. □

3. Conclusion

The best simultaneous approximations of a finite number of functions in Köthe Bochner function spaces in the maximal sense were studied in this paper. The relationship between the $(BMSP)$ of G , the closed subspace of

X and the $(BMSP)$ of $E(G)$ in $E(X)$ were also addressed. These characterization can be viewed as an extension of a number of related theorems about Orlicz Bochner spaces and L_p Bochner spaces.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their careful reading of their manuscript and their many insightful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] E. Abu-Sirhan, *Best Simultaneous Approximation in function and operator space*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 36 (2012), 101-112.
- [2] E. Abu-Sirhan and R. Khali, *Best Simultaneous Approximation in $L^\infty(\mu, X)$* , Indian Journal of Mathematics, 51 (2009), 391-400.
- [3] Sh. Al-Sharif, *Best Simultaneous Approximation in Metric Spaces*, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics(JJMS), 1 (2008), 69-80.
- [4] D. Fang, X. Luo and C. Li, *Nonlinear simultaneous approximation in complete lattice Banach spaces*, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics 12 (2008), 2373-2385.
- [5] M. Khandaqji, *Best p -Simultaneous Approximation in Kothe Bochner Founction Spaces*, Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis, 24 (2017), 18-27.
- [6] M. Khandaqji and A. B urqan, *Best ∞ -Simultaneous Approximation In Banach Lattice Function Spaces*, Journal of Mathematical and Computational Science, 6 (2016), 844-854.
- [7] M. Khandaqji and Sh. Al-Sharif, *Best Simultaneous Approximation*

- in Orlicz Spaces*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 2007, 7 pages.
- [8] M. Khandaqji, F. Awawdeh and J. Jawdat, *Simultaneous proximality of vector valued function spaces*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 36 (2012), 437- 444.
 - [9] M. Khandaqji, W. Shatanawi and Z. Mustafa, *Approximation in Köthe Bochner Function Space*, International Journal of Applied Mathematics, 20 (2007), 937-942 .
 - [10] H. E. Lacey, *The Isometry Theory of Classical Banach Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
 - [11] P. K. Lin, *Köthe Bochner Function Space*, Springer Verlag, New York, 2004.
 - [12] L. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces II*, Function Spaces, Springer Verlag, New York, 1979.
 - [13] J. Mendoza and T. Pakhrou, *Best simultaneous approximation in $L1(\mu, X)$* , J. Approx. Theory, 145 (2007), 212-220.
 - [14] S. Tanimoto, *On Best Simultaneous Approximation*. Mathematica Japonica, 84 (1998), 275-279.
 - [15] G. A. Watson, *A characterization of Best Simultaneous Approximations*, Journal of Approximation Theory, 75 (1993), 175-182.
 - [16] L. Xian-Fa, C. Chong Lib, X. C. Hong-Kun Xud and Y. Jen-Chih, *Existence of best simultaneous approximations in $L p(S, \sum, X)$* , Journal of Approximation Theory, 163 (2011), 1300-316.

Applied Science Private University
66 Amman
Jordan
E-mail: khandakjimona@gmail.com

Applied Science Private University
66 Amman
Jordan
E-mail: : E_almuhur@asu.edu.jo

University of Petra
Amman
Jordan
E-mail: : Manal.allabadi@uop.edu.jo

German Jordanian University
Amman
Jordan
E-mail: anwar.boustanji@gju.edu.jo

(Received: September, 2021; Revised: October, 2021)